Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/701,453	GRANOFF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	S. DEVI	1645
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>S. DEVI</u> .	(3)	
(2) Attorney Otis Littlefiled.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 April 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicants' amendment filed 11/30/10.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate or directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate or did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/701,453

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The allowable subject matter was indicated. The need to issue an Examiner's amendment to add a new claim to replace claim 29 and to capitalize some trademark recitations in the specification was conveyed. Attorney Littlefield authorized the examiner of record to issue an Examiner's amendment to cancel claim 29, allow the rest of the pending claims, and add the new claim 31. It was requested that Applicants submit the PTO-892 mailed 08/28/11 to the Office since it appears to be currently not of record. Attorney Littlefield kindly agreed to look into his record and submit the same.

/S. Devi/ Primary Examiner AU 1645

04-04-2011